Community Survey Results - ESP Framework Study

The following summarizes input provided on the Draft Framework Study for the Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. The data reflect survey responses provided at community meetings and via an online survey. As noted below, selected write-in comments are included. Complete records of all survey responses are available at the Delta Protection Comission office.

Key (descriptions) for summary table		
DNR = Does Not Rank Score - numbers in parentheses reflect the scoring average		
1st (Ranked as Highest Priority)	of first, second and third place rankings for respective key factor (3 pts. given to first place selections, 2 pts. to secon	
2nd (Ranked as Second Highest Priority)		
3rd (Ranked as Third Highest Priority)	and 1 pt. to thirds).	

Question	Key Factor (if appl)	Responses (tally)	Score
1. Key Drivers	Agree Partially Agree	34 of 60 26 of 60	57% 43%
Comments (partial list):	Bethel Island and Isleton are primary zone islands Residential housing and all that goes with it should probably be added as it seems to be popping up everywhere. Not that this is a good thing		
	I still believe that water and water	er quality are the key drivers of the D	elta Economy
	Overpopulation and future increa	ses are a key driver for the future of	all areas in CA.
	That users of the water from the Delta are kept from selling their rights to other users What may work in one area, perhaps it can not be duplicated in a other sectoe. With a population of more than 632,000 and a workforce of more than 146,000 people, a key driver of Delta economy is the people who live and work there themselves. They WATER is the main driver for the Delta.		
2. Key Sectors	Agree	30 of 59	51%
	Partially Agree	29 of 59	49%
Comments (partial list):	Recreation boating, yachting, fishing, nature watching. there are about 10,500 pleasure boat slips in the Delta. Residential housing. I would like to see an emphasis on the importance and benefit of historic preservation; particularly as it pertains to generating tourism. Service connected industries are part on an economy on the whims of customer spending. So at present we are on a roller coaster ride. The list is practically comprehensive of all possible economic sectors and therefore not particularly valuable.		

(question 2 cont.)

One of the key sectors of the Delta is the governmental collective itself. That is the cities, 6 counties, the state, and the federal government. They can be considered as the glue which holds everything else together. They collect the data, taxes, etc. and redistribute the funds to do anything in the area. They include the fire districts, schools, etc. or any Board elected by voters and given fiduciary oversight. What do they stand to gain or lose with changes that impact the Delta? Are ythey adequately funded to be viable? Are they adequately staffed to do a good job into the future? Or will they be hampered by inadequate funding and staffing so that all the grand plans for the Delta fall apart? What obligations does government have to all this? Do they educate the voters? Do they keep their activities around the Delta open and transparent? Do they work together or against each other? The Delta Stewardship Commission isn't even an elected council answerable to the voters. How can that be helpful or a deterent to the process of deciding a plan for the delta?

3. Key Assets A	Agree	37 of 55	67%
	Partially Agree	18 of 55	33%

Population and employment data be updated from the 2008 census info ASAP (when more recent info becomes available)...

Comments (partial list):

Advertise what we have, to the rest of the world. and country, or whatever comes first.

Public Safety? What is this suppose to mean as an asset?

Public safety focuses on flood protection alone. This is California after all. Waht about other natural disasters such as massive earhtquakes that rip apart levees and dams, crack open the canals, gates, and tunnels conveying water? Is there any emergency plan being developed to deal with this likely occurance? Whose responsability is it if all planning has only focused on flood damage. Earthquakes can cause flooding, but remedies may be very different if large amounts of infrastructure such as roads or rail lines are torn apart by land movements. Is the Delta mostly unstable soil quick to liquify under earth shaking pressure? Is there any bedrock to rely on?

4. Key Factors (whole economy)

29 of 58 50% Agree 29 of 58 50%

Partially Agree

Comments:

Free trade and agricultural production moving overseas. The State Water Project and the Central Vally Project

Transportation by road and water

Flood protection for Bethel Island cannot be left to residents of Bethel Island. Exclusion

from primary zone is dissaterous. "subventions" be changed to "subsidies/grants".

Also: Uncreasing population pressures are a key facor to demand for all resources Restrictions of use. If a user is granted rights to the water it must be used only for the use stated in the permit. No secondary rights granted.

Climate change is a phantom issue. As long as we can not get a standard, what is good and/or bad. Don't dwell on the issue to long.

4a. KFA Economy (as a whole)

Land Supply	DNR	
Water Policies	34 - 1st, 12 - 2nd, 13 - 3rd	1st (2.35)
Climate Change	DNR	
Regulations	DNR	
Habitat Policies	DNR	
Flood Protection Policies	9 - 1st, 14 - 2nd, 10 - 3rd	2nd (1.96)
Land Use Policy	5 - 1st, 11 - 2nd, 8 - 3rd	3rd (11 27 2/10

(question 4a cont.) Access DNR

Gov't Inv/Subven DNR Labor Availability DNR Other DNR

Comments (partial list): Improvements to historical and cultural sites

Economy affets tourism, effects most residents

Cultural/Historic Resource Management State demand on water transport to So Cal

Over population

implementation of the 2 gates project Financial plan to achieve the goals

5. KFA Ag	Agree	34 of 50	68%
	5	46 6 5 5	000/

Partially Agree 16 of 50 32%

Comments (partial list): There are none listed there

No secondary water right should be granted Better use or no use of certain pesticides

What gets grown -- water hungry? Or not? How much water gets removed from under

the ground not just the available surface water.

WATER FOR ALL OF THE STATE

Government should not medal in the AG business in the Delta.

5a. KFA Ag	Land Cumply	DNR
Ja. KFA AY	Land Supply	DINK

Water	36 - 1st, 14 - 2nd, 4 - 3rd	1st (2.6)
Critical Mass	DNR	
Regulation	DNR	
Invasive Species	DNR	
Changes (market)	DNR	
Land Use Policies	6 - 1st, 10 - 2nd, 12 - 3rd	3rd (1.79)
Flood Protection	9 - 1st, 19 - 2nd, 7 - 3rd	2nd (2.1)
Transportation	DNR	
Other	DNR	

Comments (partial list): Future Environmental Regulations imapcting discharge

No secondary market for water rights

Farmers don't need more regulation. They know better on how to handle the lands.

6. KFA Food	Agree	41 of 53	77%

Partially Agree 12 of 53 23%

Comments (partial list): No discharge of wastes unless fully cleaned of problems

If more food were grown closer to where it was used, the ag industry would reduce overhead costs to grow and transport foods. But food is seasonal north to south and by elevation above sealevel or dependent on water access. Any food processing produces wastes that we don't recycle as well as we should. If Sacramento waste water (some residential and some industrial) pollutes the Delta now, what will Delta planning do to regulate/ monitor increased waste from food packing concerns relocating in the Delta

More land use regulations are not needed. Gov't tends to over regulate because they think they know better than users of the land. We don't need more regulations, we need less regulation!

6a. KFA Food	Land Use Regs	41 - 1st, 9 - 2nd, 6 - 3rd	1st (2.62)
	Utilities	4 - 1st, 14 - 2nd, 17 - 3rd	3rd tie (1.63)
	Labor Supply	6 - 1st, 8 - 2nd, 18 - 3rd	3rd tie (1.63)
	Other Regulations	5 - 1st, 23 - 2nd, 12 - 3rd	2nd (1.82)
	Other	DNR	
Comments (partial list):	Investmate resources		
(par da 1100).	Investmate resources Increasing demand due to increasing population pressures Flood protection Waste production by food processing. Energy consumption by food industry. High versus low tech design and smal carbon footprints for new food processing industries in the Delta?		
	Good supply of water is neede	d. NO more regulations.	
7. KFA Tourism	Agree	40 of 56	71%
	Partially Agree	16 of 56	29%
Comments (partial list):	Restoration/upgrades of cultur	al and historic sites	
	Outside funding for reclaimati	on districts	
	Adding a provision on the imp	ortance of historic preservation.	
	What do tourists bring with th	em and leave behind that Delta residen	ts may not want?
	_	s prices, solid or liquid waste that has t	-
		for extra policing, wear & tear on road	•
	ROAD IMPROVEMENT, ACCESS		
7a. KFA Tourism	Diversity of Attractions	DNR	
	Environ Quality	23 - 1st, 8 - 2nd, 5 - 3rd	1st (2.5)
	Image of Delta	15 - 1st, 18 - 2nd, 11 - 3rd	2nd (2.1)
	Demo/Cultural	DNR	
	Availability of Services, etc.	12 - 1st, 19 - 2nd, 18 - 3rd	3rd (1.88)
	Other	DNR	
Comments (partial list):	Restoration of cultural and historic sites		
	On the water site availability		
	Add facilities for non-motorized, human powered boats (canoes, kayaks, wind		
	surfers etc.)		
	Historic preservation		
	Water quality		
	road systems		
	economic recession affecting tourism all over		
		g (00o 0 0	
8. KFA Boating	Agree	43 of 52	83%
· J	Partially Agree	9 of 52	17%
Comments (partial list):	Manual havesting of egregia d	ensa and hyacith	
,			
8a. KFA Boating	Navigability	16 - 1st, 23 - 2nd, 10 - 3rd	2nd (2.12)
	Quant/Qual Water	31 - 1st, 11 - 2nd, 6 - 3rd	1st (2.52)
	Support Services	DNR	
	Avail. of Launches/Marina	8 - 1st, 14 - 2nd, 19 - 3rd	3rd (1.73)
	Avail. Of Lautiches/Marina		
			` ,
	Access to towns	DNR	
Comments (partial list)	Access to towns Other		, , , ,
Comments (partial list):	Access to towns	DNR DNR	12/2/10

Safety on the water ways, ie. alcohol enforcement, boat safety enforcement, penalties for polluting the land or land, noise restriction, crowd control, removal of sunken vessels from all Delta waterways, etc.

9. KFA Fish/Hunt	Agree	45 of 52	87%
	Partially Agree	7 of 52	13%
Comments (partial list):	None		
9a. KFA Fish/Hunt	Health (of habitat areas)	22 - 1st, 18 - 2nd, 9 - 3rd	1st (2.26)
Ja. KIA HSH/Hull	Access to water/land	8 - 1st, 21 - 2nd, 23 - 3rd	3rd (1.71)
	Quant/Qual Water	27 - 1st, 17 - 2nd, 15 - 3rd	2nd (2.20)
	Support Services	DNR	211u (2.20)
	Other	DNR	
Comments (partial list):	without ag there is nothing to hu health of fish in delta		
	decline of fish populations		
10. KFA Retail	Agree	35 of 52	67%
IU. KFA Ketali	Partially Agree	17 of 52	33%
Commonts (nartial list)	government fastrack for on the w		3370
Comments (partial list):	Clean water use a must. No toxic	_	
		using for workers to expensive. ve ne	ed where they
	1 would be difficult to provide flot	ising for workers to expensive. Ve ne	ed where they
10a. KFA Retail	Labor Supply	DNR	
	Infra/Buildings	13 - 1st, 10 - 2nd, 21 - 3rd	3rd (1.82)
	Access/visibility	6 - 1st, 33 - 2nd, 11 - 3rd	2nd (1.9)
	Demand from Res. (etc.)	40 - 1st, 9 - 2nd, 6 - 3rd	1st (2.62)
	Other	DNR	
Comments (partial list):	marketing and quality of retail/re	staurant/services	
11. KFA Govt	Agree	30 of 51	59%
11. KI/ COVC	Partially Agree	21 of 51	41%
Comments (partial list):	an active, funded, Delta cleanup Strict rules governing use of water	agency	11 70
	Reliable funding to do the work that needas to be done. That means federal, state, county, and local city revenue. California is a poor example of that prospect right now.		
	county, and local city revenue. Co	amornia is a poor example or that pros	pect right now.
11a. KFA Loc. Govt	Revenue Base	33 - 1st, 19 - 2nd, 10 - 3rd	1st (2.37)
	State/Fed Funding	17 - 1st, 20 - 2nd, 22 - 3rd	2nd (1.91)
	Res. Pop Base	10 - 1st, 18 - 2nd, 26 - 3rd	3rd (1.70)
	Other	DNR	
Comments (partial list):	Appropriate land use planning		
(1)	Other means the buswinesses that benefit most from the water in the Delta: water		
	districts that have water rights, b	uy water at low prices, and then sell t	hem at huge
		e Central Valley and for purposes other	_
		play or to give the water back to the p	eople of

Note: comments listed under each question are unedited and were taken from the online surveys. Handwritten comments have been reviewed by the project team and staff, but were not entered into this spreadsheet.

California. There is no guarantee of excessive greed and profit.